

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,131	09/27/2000	Gary S. Kitten	M-8847 US	. 7081
7590 10/07/2003		EXAMINER		
David L McCombs Haynes And Boone LLP 901 Main Street			LEE, CHRISTOPHER E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 3100		2189	10	
Dallas, TX 75	202-3789		DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/672,131	KITTEN ET AL.	_			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher E. Lee	2189				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-10,12-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	Glenn A. Auve Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100	1				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/672,131

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed on 22<sup>nd</sup> of September 2003 under 37 CFR 1.1v6 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because the proposed amendment raises new issue that would require further consideration and/or search, which is "a device including a transistor triggered by assert all of the elements and their relationships claimed in the amended claims were either earlier claimed or inherent in the claims as examined, the amended claims would require further consideration and/or search because the amended claims extend the scope of the claimed invention and/or were not previously addressed in the Final Rejection.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicants' arguments regarding to the prior art rejection, the arguments are drawn to limitations which have not been entered for consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: The Examiner notes that the Applicants violated the Rule of Waiver of 37 CFR 1,121 (See MPEP 714[R-1], III>Revised manner of making amendments Waiver of 37 CFR 1.121, 3(B))...